For Convenience -

we may arrange the - writers - in three groups

those who were chiefly associated with the two great Edinburgh periodicals

riscellanists London men, who were

lastly, such writers as do not naturally fall under one or other of these two heads.

- The Edinburgh Men.
- ► FRANCIS JEFFREY (1773- 1850)
- called by his admirers the "Archcritic," and by
- his victims "Judge Jeffrey"
- (in reference to the notorious "bloody judge"
- of the seventeenth century -
- conducted the trials of captured rebels.

► He became notable during the reign of King James II, rising to the position of Lord Chancellor

His conduct as a judge was to enforce royal policy, resulting in a historical reputation for severity and bias.





Contributed some 200 articles to the Edinburgh

and may be regarded as the most influential though not the greatest critic of his time. On the whole, as our quotation in 86 -

- Lord Jeffrey wrote in an early number of the
- Edinburgh Review: "Poetry has this much in common with religion, that its standards were fixed long ago by certain inspired writers, whose authority it is no longer lawful to call in question."

he represents the conservative side in criticism.

- ► He was not indeed consistently opposed to
- the romantic movement,
- nor was he a blind supporter of the Augustan tradition;
- but his general influence was on the side of authority and against innovation

To-day his criticism seems in general unsatisfactory.

► He lacked breadth of sympathy and flexibility of judgment;

► His object was not to interpret but to arraign (accuse) and, if possible, to condemn; he was often brutal

he cared little for subject-matter and fixed his attention on form and style

and he had no feeling for the large human aspects of literature.

His chief coadjutor, (one who aids another, associate) SIDNEY SMITH (1771-1845)

an exceedingly clever clergyman, who is now better known for his witticisms than for his literature. He contributed some 65 articles to the *Edinburgh*,

- and produced a considerable body of other work-
- including a brilliant satire on the Irish question, *Peter Plymley's Letters* (1807).

His writings labour under the disadvantage of having dealt for the most part with dead abuses and forgotten controversies.

- For this reason they are now little read,
- which is a pity, for they are full of good things

- Of the "Blackwood's men " the most famous in his own day -
- **JOHN WILSON** (1785-1854)

better known under his pen-name of Christopher North.

- A man of powerful physique
- a wrestler and boxer

and a devotee of the prize ring while he was Professor of Moral Philosophy in the University of Edinburgh

he carried his high spirits and his boisterous energies into nearly everything he wrote.

His output was enormous

comprised stories, poems,

and a vast number of magazine articles on all sorts of subjects.

- His best work is to be found in his
- Noctes Ambrosianae
- or Nights at the Ambrose Tavern in Edinburgh)

which appeared in *Blackwood* at irregular intervals, and ran to 70 numbers in all.

They are in the form of dialogues, and are full of conviviality (jovial, good humour), reckless humour, and dashing criticism of literature and politics.

► But their interest was largely local and temporary, - and their broad Scotch makes it additionally difficult for the southern reader to appreciate them.

In regard to prose style, Wilson was entirely with the romantics.

THOMAS DE QUINCEY (1785-1859).

- Though he wrote for the London Magazine as well as for Blackwood, we may here find place for a personal friend of Wilson, and a man of far greater importance in
- ► literature THOMAS DE QUINCEY (1785-1859).

- essentially a magazinist
- 17 volumes of his collected works consist mainly -
- essays on a large variety of subjects.

- ► His writing is often marred (imperfection) by glaring defects
- he had a habit of abusing his extraordinary learning and of sinking thereby into obscurity (state of being unknown, unimportant) and pedantry (excessive concern with minor details and rules)

- in argument, while wonderfully subtle, he
- was frequently captious (tending to find fault or raise petty

objections) and trivial

> and he continually indulged in huge

unwieldy (unmanageable) digressions (deviation, diversion).